

Strategic Risk Register

Revision	Date
1.	March 2018
2.	September 2018
3.	October 2018 (IJB & APS)
4	February 2019 (APS)
5.	March 2019 (IJB)
6.	August 2019 (APS)
7.	October 2019 (LT)
8.	November 2019 (IJB
	workshop)
9.	January 2020 (ahead of
	IJB)
10	March 2020
11	July 2020
12	October 2020
13	November 2020
14	January 2021

Introduction & Background

This document is made publicly available on our website, in order to help stakeholders (including members of the public) understand the challenges currently facing health and social care in Aberdeen.

This is the strategic risk register for the Aberdeen City Integration Joint Board, which lays the foundation for the development of work to prevent, mitigate, respond to and recover from the recorded risks against the delivery of its strategic plan.

Just because a risk is included in the Strategic Risk Register does not mean that it will happen, or that the impact would necessarily be as serious as the description provided.

More information can be found in the Board Assurance and Escalation Framework and the Risk Appetite Statement.

Appendices

- Risk Tolerances
- Risk Assessment Tables



Colour - Key

Risk Rating	Low	Medium	High	Very High
Risk Movement		Decrease	No Change	Increase

Risk Summary:

1	There is a risk that there is insufficient capacity in the market (or appropriate infrastructure in-house) to fulfil the IJB's duties as outlined in the integration scheme. This includes commissioned services and general medical services.	High
2	There is a risk of financial failure, that demand outstrips budget and IJB cannot deliver on priorities, statutory work, and projects an overspend.	Very High
3	There is a risk that the outcomes expected from hosted services are not delivered and that the IJB does not identify non-performance in through its systems. This risk relates to services that Aberdeen IJB hosts on behalf of Moray and Aberdeenshire, and those hosted by those IJBs and delivered on behalf of Aberdeen City.	High
4	There is a risk that relationship arrangements between the IJB and its partner organisations (Aberdeen City Council & NHS Grampian) are not managed to maximise the full potentials of integrated & collaborative working. This risk covers the arrangements between partner organisations in areas such as governance; corporate service; and performance.	Low
5	There is a risk that the IJB, and the services that it directs and has operational oversight of, fail to meet both performance standards/outcomes as set by regulatory bodies and those locally-determined performance standards as set by the board itself. This may result in harm or risk of harm to people.	Medium
6	There is a risk of reputational damage to the IJB and its partner organisations resulting from complexity of function, delegation and delivery of services across health and social care	High
7	Failure to deliver transformation at a pace or scale required by the demographic and financial pressures in the system	High
8	There is a risk that the IJB does not maximise the opportunities offered by locality working	Medium
9	There is a risk that if the System does not redesign services from traditional models in line with the current workforce marketplace in the City this will have an impact on the delivery of the IJB Strategic Plan.	Very High
10	There is a risk that ACHSCP is not sufficiently prepared to deal with the impacts of Brexit on areas of our business, including affecting the available workforce and supply chain.	High





-1-

Description of Risk: There is a risk that there is insufficient capacity in the market (or appropriate infrastructure in-house) to fulfil the IJB's duties as outlined in the integration scheme. Commissioned services in this context include third and independent providers of care and supported living and independent providers of general medical services, community optometry and general dental services. Additional pressures from other parts of the system also add to market instability. For example, recruitment of care staff within a competing market, reduction of available beds and the requirement to care for more complex people at home. Most recently, sustainability for providers of both care at home and care homes has been compromised by the impact of COVID-19, including access to the necessary PPE and associated costs incurred, staff availability due to blanket testing and the occupancy levels within some of our care homes.

Strategic Priority: Prevention and Communities									
Risk Rating: lo	Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high HIGH								
		HI	GП						
IMPACT									
Almost									
Certain									
Likely									
Possible				✓					
Unlikely									
Rare									
LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme				
Risk Movement: increase/decrease/no change									
NO CHANGE 14.01.21									

Controls:

- Robust market and relationship management with the 3rd and independent sector and their representative groups, building a sense of shared risk, in an environment where people operate in a respectful and responsible fashion. In particular, with a sense of etiquette in the way in which businesses conduct themselves
- GP Contracts and Contractual Review and GP Sustainability Risk Review workforce and role review in primary care.
- Funding arrangements which take into account the annual increase to support payment of the Scottish Living wage
- Contact monitoring arrangements regular exchange of information between contracts and providers and progressing new contracts

Leadership Team Owner: Lead Commissioner

Rationale for Risk Rating:

- There have been several experiences of provider failure in the past and this has provided valuable
 experience and an opportunity for learning. There is unmet need in the care sector evidenced by out of
 area placements and use of agency staff which would indicate that there are insufficient skills and
 capacity to meet the needs of the population
- There are difficulties in recruiting to vacant GP positions within the city which has led to GP practices closing
- Discussion with current providers and understanding of market conditions across the UK and in Aberdeen locally.
- Impact of Living Wage on profitability depending on some provider models (employment rates in Aberdeen are high, care providers have to compete within this market)
- The impact of Covid-19 on providers is not yet fully quantifiable. Bed occupancy has reduced and costs have increased potentially through maintaining existing staffing levels and procuring PPE.
- The impact of Covid-19 on independent GP practices, community optometrists and general dental practitioners is not yet fully quantifiable. Should supply of these contracted services reduce due to financial constraints and businesses fail, there may be insufficient capacity to provide services to patients. The responsibility to ensure patients have access to these services rests with the Partnership. Scottish Government via Chief Dental Officer has highlighted an increased risk of reduction in General Dental Practitioners capacity as a result of patient deregistration activity seen in some regions

Rationale for Risk Appetite:

As 3rd and independent sectors are key strategic partners in delivering transformation and improved care experience, we have a low tolerance of this risk. It is suggested that this risk tolerance should be shared right throughout the organisation, which may encourage staff and all providers of primary health and care services to escalate valid concerns at an earlier opportunity.

Mitigating Actions: The IJB's commissioning model has an influence on creating capacity and capability to manage and facilitate the market :-

- The development of virtual provider huddles
- The development of the local PPE hub
- Consortium of providers purchasing PPE
- Risk fund set aside with transformation funding
- Implementation of GMS contract
- Remodelling of 2C practices
- Interim financial support from Scottish Government for community optometrists and general dental practitioners.



Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership A caring partnership

- Clinical and care governance processes and the opportunity to provide assurance, including assurance that all appropriate leadership team members and staff have undertaken Adult Protection training.
- Leadership team monthly discussion of operational and strategic risk to ensure shared sense of responsibility and approach to potential challenging situations.
- Close working between partnership (social work, medical and nursing practitioners), care inspectorate, and public health directorate
- Clinical and Health Protection Scotland Guidance for social care settings.
- **GP Sub Committee of Local Medical Council**

- Provider of last resort Bon Accord Care
- The development of risk predictor tools in association with the care inspectorate, and individual team escalation plans
- Reconciliation process working on a pan Grampian approach
- Worked with care providers to develop key business contacts that providers can use over winter to help with their overall business continuity planning eg links to Flu vaccine details/NHS Inform/SEPA/Met office/Council Roads/Travel Providers.
- Develop and implement the Residential Care Providers Early Warning System (once returned to new normal) with monthly returns from providers using MS Forms to gather intelligence and report to all relevant parties.
- Intervention by Scottish Ministers and Public Bodies where financial failure evident
- Grampian PH Team to provide advice on all aspects of prevention, testing and management of Covid
- All care home staff offered weekly Covid testing

Assurances:

- Market management and facilitation
- Inspection reports from the Care Inspectorate
- Contract monitoring process, including GP contract review visit outputs.
- Daily report monitoring
- Clinical oversight group daily meetings
- Good relationships with GP practices
- Links to Dental Practice Advisor who works with independent dentists
- Director of Dentistry co-ordinating Grampian contingency planning to
- horizon scan for regional deregistration activity
- proactively work with practices that wish to deregister patients
- plan suitable contingency arrangements in the event patients are deregister
- Links to the Eye Health Network and Clinical Leads for Optometry in Shire & Moray and the overall Grampian Clinical Lead
- Roles of Clinical Director and Clinical Leads

Gaps in assurance:

- Market or provider failure can happen quickly despite good assurances being in place. For example, even with the best monitoring system, the closure of a practice can happen very guickly, with (in some cases) one partner retiring or becoming ill being the catalyst.
- Market forces and individual business decisions regarding community optometry and general dental practitioners cannot be influenced by the Partnership.
- We are currently undertaking service mapping which will help to identify any potential gaps in market
- Public Dental Services staffing capacity to increase service provision in short term

Current performance:

- · Most social care services are commissioned from care providers. Commissioning is the largest part of our budget and accounts for over £100 million of our available budget.
- Additional costs incurred by residential providers to be supported by initial mobilisation funding provided by SG. Where care homes cannot occupy beds due to Covid-19 infection levels or other reasons, sustainability payments will be made to ensure the market is supported.
- GPs and their practice teams are open as usual during the pandemic but are operating a triage system using telephone and video appointments. Remote consulting initiatives such as Attend Anywhere and the use of GMEDs, and the OOH's base were activated to encourage cross sector working. All non-urgent home visits have been suspended and all remaining visits are conducted either by the practice themselves or by the City Visiting or

Comments:

- National Care Home Contract uplift for 2016/17 was 6.4% and 2.8% 2017/18.. NCHC uplift has been awarded for 2019/20. For other services (CAH, SL, Adult Res) a national agreement for a 3.3% uplift has exceptionally been agreed this year.
- IJB agreed payment of living wage to Care at Home providers for 2016/17, 2017/18 and 2018/19
- During the Covid-19 outbreak, the Care Inspectorate have scaled back inspection and complaints handling activity. This will allow providers to focus on support for commissioning bodies during the pandemic but may increase the risk that market failure is difficult to predict.
- Relationships between partnership and providers and between different providers have advanced over the past few months and there are good examples of providers working innovatively to support
- Collaborative working between providers including consortium for PPE purchase
- Positive feedback from providers over the level of support offered to them.



Hospital at Home services in order to deliver a safe and contained service. Most visits are undertaken by the practice. City Visiting are focusing their work on Covid patients although they are now undertaking a small number of visits from 17 practices. Hospital at Home continue to take referrals. Any further remobilisation of paused services may be halted due to rising numbers of COVID cases.

- Community optometrists and general dental practitioners were closed during lockdown but provided an emergency triage service for their own patients who have emergency or urgent need. Reopening is on a phased basis and community optometrists and general dental practitioners can now see routine patients, however they are prioritising those in most need. Due to Infection Prevention Control measures required, dental practitioners can provide Aerosol Generating Procedures for urgent care only and where any practice is unable to provide this, the Public Dental Service will do so on an emergency or urgent basis.
- Public Dental Service (PDS) plan to maintain unscheduled care support for unregistered dental patients (usually undertaken by GDP contractors)
- PDS developing plan to expand the above capacity should the number of unregistered / de-registered patients increase, including costings and need to recruit additional clinical staff
- PDS working with DoD and PCCT to identify potential 'early-warning' signs and trigger events for patient deregistration

Continuing to progress the tender for Care at Home and Supported Living



-2-

Description of Risk:

There is a risk of IJB financial failure and projecting an overspend, due to demand outstripping available budget, which would impact on the IJB's ability to deliver on its strategic plan (including statutory work).

Strategic Priority: Prevention and Communities

Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high

VERY HIGH

IMPACT

Almost Certain

Likely

Possible

Unlikely

Likely

Negligible

Minor

Moderate

Major

Extreme

Risk Movement: increase/decrease/no change:

No Change 14/01/2021

Leadership Team Owner: Chief Finance Officer

Rationale for Risk Rating:

- If the partnership does not have sufficient funding to cover all expenditure, then in order to achieve a sustainable balanced financial position, decisions will be required to be taken which may include reducing/stopping services
- If the levels of funding identified in the Medium Term Financial Framework are not made available to the IJB in future years, then tough choices would need to be made about what the IJB wants to deliver. It will be extremely difficult for the IJB to continue to generate the level of savings year on year to balance its budget.
- The major risk in terms of funding to the Integration Joint Board is the level of funding delegated from the Council and NHS and whether this is sufficient to sustain future service delivery. There is also a risk of additional funding being ring-fenced for specific priorities and policies, which means introducing new projects and initiatives at a time when financial pressure is being faced on mainstream budgets.
- The cost of the IJB's (Covid-19) mobilisation plan is still to be fully determined. An initial payment of £2.7 million was received from the SG in May to support additional costs with a significant part of this now allocated to support sustainability of the commissioned providers. Until the funding and costs for COVID-19 is confirmed the risk of a financial shortfall in relation to the IJB finances is increased.

Rationale for Risk Appetite:

The IJB has a low-moderate risk appetite to financial loss and understands its requirement to achieve a balanced budget. The IJB recognises the impacts of failing to achieve a balanced budget on Aberdeen City Council & its bond – an unmanaged overspend may have an impact on funding levels.

However, the IJB also recognises the significant range of statutory services it is required to meet within that finite budget and has a lower appetite for risk of harm to people (low or minimal).

Controls:

- Financial information is reported regularly to the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee, the Integration Joint Board and the Leadership Team
- Risk, Audit & Performance receives regular updates on transformation programme & spend.
- Approved reserves strategy, including risk fund
- Robust financial monitoring and budget setting procedures including regular budget monitoring & budget meeting with budget holders.
- Budgets delegated to cost centre level and being managed by budget holders.
- Medium-Term Financial Strategy reviewed and approved at the IJB in March 2020.

Mitigating Actions:

- The Leadership Team are committed to driving out efficiencies, encouraging self-management and moving forward the prevention agenda to help manage future demand for services.
- An early review has been undertaken of the financial position and was reported in June to the IJB.
 These figures will be firmed up and the chief officer and chief finance officer have been asked to report back to the IJB in August and October with further information.



Medium Term Financial Strategy review planned for 2021.	
Assurances: • Risk, Audit and Performance Committee oversight and scrutiny of budget under the Chief	Gaps in assurance: • The financial environment is challenging and requires regular monitoring. The scale of the challenge
Finance Officer.	to make the IJB financially sustainable should not be underestimated.
Board Assurance and Escalation Framework.	 Financial failure of hosted services may impact on ability to deliver strategic ambitions.
Quarterly budget monitoring reports.	
 Regular budget monitoring meetings between finance and budget holders. 	
Current performance:	Comments:
Year-end position for 2019/20	Regular and ongoing budget reporting and management scrutiny in place.
The impact of the coronavirus on the finances of the IJB are largely unknown. Some of	
these financial consequences will receive additional funding from the Scottish Government,	1 ,
and an initial payment in support of mobilisation was received in May 2020. However, at	,, <u>, ,, , ,</u>
this time although some additional costs are known, many are yet to be determined. The level and timing of any further funding is currently unknown.	Audit and Performance Committee.



- 3 -

Description of Risk: There is a risk that hosted services do not deliver the expected outcomes, fail to deliver transformation of services, or face service failure and that the IJB fails to identify such non-performance through its own systems and pan-Grampian governance arrangements. This risk relates to services that Aberdeen IJB hosts on behalf of Moray and Aberdeenshire, and those hosted by those IJBs and delivered on behalf of Aberdeen City.

•	· ·	,	•		nce arrangement	is. This risk relates to services that Aberdeen IJB nosts on behalf of Moray and Aberdeenshire, and
those hosted	by those IJBs a	nd delivered on	behalf of Abero	leen City.		
Strategic Prio	rity: Prevention	and Connections	S.			Leadership Team Owner: Chief Officer
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/	, 0				Rationale for Risk Rating:
		н	IGH			 Considered high risk due to the projected overspend in hosted services Hosted services are a risk of the set-up of Integration Joint Boards.
IMPACT						Thosted services are a fisik of the set-up of integration sount boards.
						Rationale for Risk Appetite:
Almost Certain						The IJB has some tolerance of risk in relation to testing change.
Likely				✓		
				,		
Possible						
Unlikely						
Rare						
LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme	
Risk Moveme	nt: (increase/dec	_	The state of the s			
		NO CHANG	SE 14.01.2021			
Controls:						Mitigating Actions:
	tion scheme agre		reporting			This is discussed regularly by the three North East Chief Officers
	ast Strategic Par onal risk register	tnership Group				 Regular discussion regarding budget with relevant finance colleagues. Chief Officers should begin to consider the disaggregation of hosted services.
• Operau	onai risk register					• Chief Officers should begin to consider the disaggregation of hosted services.
Assurances:						Gaps in assurance:
					n place by NHS chare put in place	 There is a need to develop comprehensive governance framework for hosted services, including the roles of the IJB's sub-committees.
	ead IJB.	being operated,	along with any ne	w processes write	ir are put iri piace	the roles of the IDD's sub-committees.
					s. The aim of the	
	s to develop real to the delegated	•	•	ard the change ag	genda, especially	
				three IJBs to con	ne together. This	
is unde	r development.				J	
					The meetings are them, allowing	
					ates are currently	
	rranged		-			



Operation Homefirst-Closer joint working across the 3 Health and Social Care Partnerships and the Acute Sector.	
Current performance:	Comments:
 The projected overspend on hosted services is a factor in the IJB's overspend position. This may in future impact on the outcomes expected by the hosted services. Hosted services includes SOARS, Sexual Health and from 1/4/20, Mental Health and Learning Disability Services. All three have been impacted by the Coronavirus pandemic with covid positive patients at Woodend now transferred to ARI, Sexual Health Services temporarily relocated to Foresterhill Campus and a reduction of beds for LD patients at Cornhil with more reliance on community approaches. 	 It is noted that NHS Grampian are currently undertaking an internal audit on the governance of hosted services.



- 4 -

Description of Risk: There is a risk that relationship arrangements between the IJB and its partner organisations (Aberdeen City Council & NHS Grampian) are not managed in order to maximise the full potential of integrated & collaborative working to deliver the strategic plan. This risk covers the arrangements between partner organisations in areas such as governance arrangements, human resources; and performance.

arrangements	, human resou	rces; and perfo	ormance.			
Strategic Priority: Prevention, Resilience and Communities.						Leadership Team Owner: Chief Officer
Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high Low IMPACT						 Rationale for Risk Rating: Considered Low given the experience of nearly three years' operations since 'go-live' in April 2016. However, given the wide range and variety of services that support the IJB from NHS Grampian and Aberdeen City Council there is a possibility of services not performing to the required level.
Almost Certain Likely Possible Unlikely						Rationale for Risk Appetite: There is a zero tolerance in relation to not meeting legal and statutory requirements.
Rare LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	✓ Moderate	Major	Extreme	
Risk Movemen	nt: (increase/de		ge) e 14.01.2021			
 Controls: IJB Strategic Plan-linked to NHS Grampian's Clinical Strategy and the Local Outcome Improvement Plan (LOIP) IJB Integration Scheme IJB Governance Scheme including 'Scheme of Governance: Roles & Responsibilities'. Agreed risk appetite statement Role and remit of the North East Strategic Partnership Group in relation to shared services Current governance committees within IJB & NHS. Alignment of Leadership Team objectives to Strategic Plan RESILIENCE: The Grampian Local Resilience Partnership is part of the NSRRP. It is chaired by the Chief Executive of NHS Grampian and is the local forum for the Category 1 and 2 Responders including Aberdeen City Council; Aberdeenshire Council; The Moray Council; NHS Grampian; Police Scotland; Scottish Fire & Rescue Service; Scottish Ambulance Service; HM Coastguard; SEPA; MOD; and SSEN Strategic Response Team Tactical Response Team Operational Response Team 						 Mitigating Actions: Regular consultation & engagement between bodies. Regular and ongoing Chief Officer membership of Aberdeen City Council's Corporate Management Team and NHS Grampian's Senior Leadership Team Regular performance meetings between ACHSCP Chief Officer, Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian Chief Executives. Additional mitigating actions which could be undertaken include the audit programme and benchmarking activity with other IJBs. In relation to capital projects, Joint Programme Boards established to co-produce business cases, strategic case approved by IJB and economic, financial, commercial, management case approved by NHSG Board and ACC Committees



Assurances:	Gaps in assurance:
 Regular review of governance documents by IJB and where necessary Aberdeen City Council & NHS Grampian. A review of the Scheme of Governance commenced in June 2019 and will be reported to the IJB in November 2019. 	
Current performance:	Comments:
 Most of the major processes and arrangements between the partner organisations have been tested for over two years of operation and no major issues have been identified. A review of the Integration Scheme has been undertaken and the revised scheme has been approved by NHSG, Aberdeen City Council & Scottish Government. However this does not remove the risk as processes within the IJB and partner organisations will continue to evolve and improve. The Grampian LRP set up the Grampian Coronavirus Assistance Hub, a new website and phoneline providing information to people all across Grampian on how to access social, practical and emotional support COVID-19. 	

						- 5 -
Description of	f Risk:					
There is a risk	that the IJB, a	and the services	s that it directs	and has operation	onal oversight o	of, fail to meet both performance standards/outcomes as set by national and regulatory bodies and
those locally-d	letermined perf	ormance standa	ards as set by t	he board itself. 7	This may result	in harm or risk of harm to people.
Strategic Prior	ity: Prevention,	Resilience, Pers	sonalisation, Con	nections and Com	nmunities.	Leadership Team Owner: Lead Strategy & Performance Manager
Dick Dating: 1/	ow/medium/high/	vory high				Rationale for Risk Rating: Service delivery is broad ranging and undertaken by both in-house and external
Kisk Kating. R	zwinieaiain/nign/	•	DIUM			providers. There are a variety of performance standards set both by national and regulatory bodies as well as those determined locally and there are a range of factors which may impact on service performance
IMPACT						against these. Poor performance will in turn impact both on the outcomes for service users and on the reputation of the IJB/partnership.
Almost Certain						Rationale for Risk Appetite:
Likely						The IJB has no to minimal tolerance of harm happening to people as a result of its actions, recognising that in some cases there may be a balance between the risk of doing nothing and the risk of action or intervention.
Possible						
Unlikely				✓		
Rare						
LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme	
Risk Movemen	t: (increase/dec	 <mark>rease/no change</mark>	<u> </u>			
	·	•	•			
Controls: Controls: Clinical and Care Governance Committee and Group Risk, Audit and Performance Committee Data and Evaluation Group Performance Framework Risk-assessed plans with actions, responsible owners, timescales and performance measures monitored by dedicated teams Linkage with ACC and NHSG performance reporting Annual Report Chief Social Work Officer's Report Ministerial Steering Group (MSG) Scrutiny Internal Audit Reports Links to outcomes of Inspections, Complaints etc. Contract Management Framework						Mitigating Actions: Fundamental review of key performance indicators reported Review of systems used to record, extract and report data Review of and where and how often performance information is reported on and how learning is fed back into processes and procedures. On-going work developing a culture of performance management and evaluation throughout the partnership Production of Performance Dashboard, presented to a number of groups, raising profile of performance and encouraging discussion leading to further review and development Recruitment of additional resource to drive performance management process development Performance now a standing agenda item on Leadership Team meetings
Assurances: • Joint me	eeting of IJB Chie	ef Officer with two	o Partner Body C	Chief Executives.		Gaps in assurance:



Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership A caring partnership

- Agreement that full Dashboard with be reported to both Clinical and Care Governance Committee and Audit & Performance Committee. Lead Strategy and Performance Manager will ensure both committees are updated in relation to the interest and activity of each.
- Annual report on IJB activity developed and reported to ACC and NHSG
- Care Inspectorate Inspection reports
- Capture of outcomes from contract review meetings.
- External reviews of performance.
- Benchmarking with other IJBs NB: unable to do this yet in 2020

- Formal performance reporting has not been as well developed as we had hoped. Focus/priorities have changed. Operation Home First and now Operation Snowdrop are driving a whole new suite of performance indicators and reporting requirements.
- Both the LOIP and the Strategic Plan are due to be refreshed during 2021. It is likely the current set of key indicators will change. Performance indicators will be considered at the same time as we set new aims and objectives based on the learning over the last couple of years.
- Work on understanding extent of operational performance reporting was stalled due to Covid 19 however this is currently being picked up again as part of the Operation Home First and Operation Snowdrop reporting referred to above.
- Further work required on linkage to ACC, NHSG and CPA reporting.

Current performance:

- Performance reports submitted to IJB, Risk, Audit and Performance and Clinical and Care Governance Committees.
- Data and Evaluation Group terms of reference and membership revised, and weekly meetings are now scheduled and taking place.
- Various Steering Groups for strategy implementation established, although meetings have paused during the response to Covid so performance is not being reviewed as regularly.
- Performance data discussed at team meetings.
- Close links with social care commissioning, procurement and contracts team have been established
- IJB Dashboard has been shared widely.
- Additional NHSG support from Medical, Nursing Director and Public Health re care homes via Grampian oversight group.

Comments:

- During the Covid-19 outbreak, Healthcare Improvement Scotland has reduced the reporting requirements placed on partnerships so that resources are freed up to support frontline critical functions. It will be important to maintain scrutiny of performance data however so that the risk can continue to be mitigated.
- Annual Performance Report In relation to performance for 2019/20, the ACHSCP Annual Performance Report was published as usual although due to the unavailability of full year data due to ISD and Health Intelligence colleagues being diverted onto Covid-19 specific work the appendices relating to national and MSG performance indicators have not yet been published.

- 6 -**Description of Risk:** There is a risk of reputational damage to the IJB and its partner organisations resulting from complexity of function, decision making, delegation and delivery of services across health and social care. Strategic Priority: All Leadership Team Owner: Communications Lead Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high Rationale for Risk Rating: HIGH Governance processes are in place and have been tested since go live in April 2017. Budget processes tested during approval of 3rd budget, which was approved. **IMPACT** Risk rating has increased to acknowledge the complexity of operating in a Covid environment. **Almost** Rationale for Risk Appetite: Certain Willing to risk certain reputational damage if rationale for decision is sound. Likely **Possible** Unlikely Rare LIKELIHOOD Negligible Minor Moderate Major **Extreme** Risk Movement: (increase/decrease/no change) NO CHANGE 14.01.2021 **Controls: Mitigating Actions:** Leadership Team Clarity of roles IJB and its Committees Staff and customer engagement – recent results from iMatter survey alongside a well-establish Joint Staff Forum indicate high levels of staff engagement. Operational management processes and reporting Effective performance and risk management Board escalation process To ensure that ACHSCP have a clear communication & engagement strategy, and a clear policy for Standards Officer role social media use, in order to mitigate the risk of reputational damage. Locality Governance Structure Communications lead's membership of Leadership Team facilities smooth flow of information from all sections of the organisation Robust relationships with all local media are maintained to ensure media coverage is well-informed and accurate and is challenged when inaccurate/imbalanced. • Locality Empowerment Groups established in each of the three localities, ensuring effective twoway communication between the partnership, partner organisations and a wide range of community representatives in North, South and Central. Consultation and engagement exercises are also



	carried out with service users, staff and partners throughout service change processes to gain detailed feedback and act upon it. Through the Locality Empowerment Groups help inform plans which will identify priorities to improve health and wellbeing for local communities, seeking the views and input of the public on these Groups.
Assurances:	Gaps in assurance:
 Role of the Chief Officer and Leadership Team Role of the Chief Finance Officer Performance relationship with NHS and ACC Chief Executives Communications plan / communications manager 	None known at this time
Current performance:	Comments:
 Communications Officer in place to lead reputation management Regular and effective liaison by Communications Lead with local and national media during pandemic to: 1) mitigate potentially harmful media coverage of Partnership and care providers during the emergency; and 2) secure significant positive media coverage of effective activity by the Partnership and its partners during the Covid crisis, highlighting necessary changes to working practices and the work of frontline staff Partnership comms presence on the NHSG Comms Cell Close liaison with ACC and NHSG comms teams to ensure consistency of messaging and clarity of roles 	 Communication and Engagement Group being strengthened by selection of 'Communications' Champions' across ACHSCP comprising of staff across the partnership to support us in ensuring key messages/internal news items are timely, appropriate and wide-reaching External and internal websites are regularly updated with fresh news/information; both sites continue to be developed and refined

						-7-		
Description of	f Risk:							
Failure of the	transformation	to delivery susta	ainable systems	s change, which	helps the IJB d	eliver its strategic priorities, in the face of demographic & financial pressures.		
Strategic Priority: All						Leadership Team Owner: Lead for Strategy and Performance		
Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high HIGH						Rationale for Risk Rating: • Recognition of the known demographic curve & financial challenges, which mean existing capacity		
IMPACT						 may struggle This is the overall risk – each of our transformation programme work streams are also risk assessed 		
Almost Certain						with some programmes being a higher risk than others. Rationale for Risk Appetite:		
Likely						 The IJB has some appetite for risk relating to testing change and being innovative. The IJB has no to minimal appetite for harm happening to people – however this is balanced with a 		
Possible				✓		 recognition of the risk of harm happening to people in the future if no action or transformation is taken. Although some transformation activity has speeded up due to necessity during the covid period, other 		
Unlikely						planned activity such as plans to increase staff attendance has not been possible as a direct result of Covid implications.		
Rare								
LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme			
Risk Movemen	t: (increase/dec	crease/no change NO CHANG	e) E 14.01.2021					
Controls:						Mitigating Actions:		
 Transformation Governance Structure and Process Risk, Audit & Performance Committee – quarterly reports to provide assurance of progress Programme Board structure: Executive Programme board and portfolio programme boards are in place although not currently all meeting due to Covid-19. 						 Programme management approach being taken across whole of the transformation programme Transformation team in place and all trained in Managing Successful Programmes methodology Regular reporting to Executive Programme Board and Portfolio Programme Boards Regular reporting to Risk, Audit & Performance Committee and Integration Joint Board Increased frequency of governance processes during Covid period – weekly Executive Programme Boards and and creation of huddle delivery models. A number of plans and frameworks have been developed to underpin our transformation activity across our wider system including: Programme for Transformation, Primary Care Improvement Plan, Action 15 Plan and Immunisation Blueprint. Transformation team amalgamated with public health and wellbeing to give greater focus to localities, early intervention and prevention. 		
	Programme Mar	ance Committee agement approa	. •	an evaluation fra	mework	 Gaps in assurance: There is a gap in terms of the impact of transformation on our budgets. Many of the benefits of our projects relate to early intervention and reducing hospital admissions, neither of which provide early cashable savings. 		



- Board escalation process
- Internal Audit has undertaken a detailed audit of our transformation programme. All recommendations from this audit have now been actioned.
- The Medium-Term Financial Framework prioritises transformation activity that could deliver cashable savings
- The Medium-Term Financial Framework, Operation Home First aims and principles, and Programme of Transformation have been mapped to demonstrate overall alignment to strategic plan.
- Impact on our ability to evidence the impact of our transformation: documenting results from evaluations and reviewing results from evaluations conducted elsewhere allows us to determine what works when seeking to embed new models.

Current performance:

- Demographic financial pressure is starting to materialise in some of the IJB budgets.
- Covid-19 Developments

Some transformation has taken place at an accelerated pace out of necessity to meet immediate demands of the Covid-19 situation. Examples of this include the rapid introduction and scale up of Near Me; the use of Microsoft Teams for remote meetings; roll out of additional technology to enable remote working; changes to the Immunisation Service, moving services such as nursing into locality operational teams etc. Some transformation activity that has been paused includes work to reduce sickness absence and use of locum staff. While some of the planned mitigations have been put in place to support staff, clearly with the levels of absence as a result of the pandemic and the pace at which it has been moving, it is difficult to undertake and measure impacts of any change in this area. The pace of other pieces of work such Action 15, PCIP and remodelling of 2C practices has slowed at the current time, although some aspects of these pieces of work have progressed

- Home First a number of projects aligned with Operation Home First and our strategic plan is placing a renewed focus on how we structure our resources.
- Accelerated delivery of Vaccination program.

Comments:

Further re-prioritisation is taking place due to implementation of Operation Snowdrop and staff losses due to secondments and a resignation. Transformation Team have temporarily merged with Strategy and Performance Team due to secondment of Lead Transformation Manager. Work is ongoing to consider reorganising the team on a more permanent basis should that be necessary.



						- 8 -
Description of	of Risk					
There is a risk	that the IJB	does not maxim	nise the opportu	nities offered b	oy locality workin	og
Strategic Prio	rity: All					Leadership Owner: Chief Officer
Risk Rating:	low/medium/hig	nh/verv high				
Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high MEDIUM						Rationale for Risk Rating: • Localities are in an early, developmental stage and currently require strategic oversight so are inclu
IMPACT						in this risk register. Once they are operational, they will be removed from the strategic risk register as a stand-alone item and will be included in the wider risk relating to transformation (risk 7).
Almost Certain						Rationale for Risk Appetite: The IJB has some appetite to risk in relation to testing innovation and change. There is zero risk of financial
Likely						failure or working out with statutory requirements of a public body.
Possible			✓			
Unlikely						
Rare						
					_	
LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme	
Risk Movemen	nt: (<i>increase/d</i>	DECREAS	nge) E 14.01.2021			
LeadersCommuAberde	Empowerment ship Team Hud unity Planning A en Together ommunity Enga	dle Aberdeen				 Mitigating Actions: In December 2020 both the IJB and the CPA approved the implementation of a more integrated approach to locality planning which combines the focus of ACHSCP and Community Planning. The approach is intended to reduce duplication of effort and simplify the landscape for community engagement, offering a clear, streamlined route which makes it easier, simpler and more appealing for people to engage. It is hoped this will enable stronger representation of community views in service and strategic planning which will in turn lead to person led delivery and improved outcomes.
ExecutiIJB/Risl	•		nittee			 Gaps in assurance Progress of developing and delivering locality plans. These will be developed by the Locality Empowerment Groups utilising the new integrated arrangements. The LOIP is due to be refreshed by June 2021 and the Strategic Plan by March 2022. Identifying the priorities for each locality will inform the Locality Plans and ultimately the LOIP and the Strategic Plan.
 CPA Board Current performance: Locality Empowerment Groups commenced in March 2020. Engagement and involvement has been challenging as a result of physical distancing requirements due to Covid but has nonetheless been successful despite these circumstances with almost 180 				I distancing req	uirements due to	Comments: A number of projects continue to be developed to enhanced operational locality working. These include: the development of multi-disciplinary teams (e.g. hospital at home and enhanced community support); further
The groThe res	Covid but has nonetheless been successful despite these circumstances with almost 18 people expressing an interest to be involved.				our communities	

						-9-			
Description of	Risk:								
There is a risk th	nat if the Syste	m does not r	edesign service	s from traditi	onal models in line	e with the current workforce marketplace in the City this will have an impact on the delivery of the IJB			
Strategic Plan.									
Strategic Priority	/: All					Leadership Team Owner: People & Organisation Lead			
Risk Rating: low	//medium/high/v		Y HIGH			Rationale for Risk Rating:			
IMPACT Almost Certain						 The current staffing complement profile changes on an incremental basis over time. However the number of over 50s employed within the partnership (by NHSG and ACC) is increasir (i.e. 1 in 3 nurses are over 50). 			
Likely					✓	 Current high vacancy levels and long delays in recruitment across ACHSCP services. 			
Possible				Inability to fill vacancies					
Unlikely						Rationale for Risk Appetite:			
Rare									
LIKELIHOOD -	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme	 Risk should be able to be managed with the adoption of agile and innovative workforce planning structures and processes 			
Revised of retention the retention to the retenti	Care Governancentract monito rends in the widnest of Organisanent of Performa	ce Committee ring arrangen ler care sector ational Develoance Dashboa	reviews operationents with proving comment Working (ders to deteri Group y the Risk, Aud	d staffing numbers mine recruitment / dit and Performance ship Team)				
Assurances: ACHSCP	Workforce Plan					 Mitigating Actions: ACHSCP Workforce Plan Rapid service redesign ongoing to deliver Operation Home First priorities Active engagement with schools to raise ACHSCP profile (eg Developing the Young Workforce, Caree Ready) Active work with training providers and employers to encourage careers in Health and Social Care (eg Foundation Apprenticeships/Modern Apprenticeships through NESCOL, working with Department fo Work and Pensions) Greater use of commissioning model to encourage training of staff Increased emphasis on health/wellbeing of staff Increased emphasis on communication with staff Greater promotion of flexible working increased collaboration and integration between professional disciplines, third sector, independen sector and communities through Localities. 			



	 Increased monitoring of staff statistics (sickness, turnover, CPD, complaints etc) through Performance Dashboard, identifying trends. Developing greater digitisation opportunities, e.g. using Text Messaging to shift emphasis from GPs to increased use of Texts for pharmacology
 Current performance: Workforce planned developed for health and social care staff. Information expected from Scottish Government during over the next few months which should help improve workforce planning across all partnerships. High levels of locum use and nursing vacancies in the psychiatry service, 6 secondary schools have been visited by members of the Leadership Team between November 2019 and February 2020 ACHSCP sickness absence rates to be updated and reported through the Performance Dashboard. 	Performance Dashboard
	Comments: Health & Care (Staffing) (Scotland) Act This Act offers opportunities and risks to the Partnership. Development of guidance at both national and local level has been paused during Covid. Once work resumes, this strategic risk will need further review Covid-19 Update The emergency has resulted in a requirement for employees to embrace new methods of carrying out their duties, whether this has involved 7-day rostering, remote working or increased flexibility and mobility. Some employees have been redeployed to pressured services during the pandemic. As we move into the next phase of our community response in partnership with the City Council and linked to the Care for People group, locality development and locality working has been identified as one of 5 priority working groups. There is uncertainty regarding the challenges coming in the winter period specifically around managing any local increase in Covid cases, flu outbreak, and increase in health issues caused by lockdown health debt. These could all have an impact on how staff are utilised in the coming months.

-10-

Description of Risk:

There is a risk that ACHSCP is not sufficiently prepared to deal with the impacts of Brexit on areas of our business, including affecting the available workforce and supply chain.

Whilst the impact on health and social care services of leaving the EU is impossible to forecast, it is clear that a number of issues will need to be resolved. Key areas for health and social care organisations to consider include: staffing; medical supplies; accessing treatment; regulation (such as working time directive and procurement/competition law, for example); and cross border issues.

Strategic Priority	: Resilience a	nd Communities.			
Risk Rating: low	/medium/high/	very high			
		HI	GH		
IMPACT					
Almost Certain					
Likely				✓	
Possible					
Unlikely					
Rare					
LIKELIHOOD	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme
Risk Movement:	(increase/dec	rease/no change	2)		

Executive Team Owner: Business Manager

Rationale for Risk Rating:

There is still a high level of uncertainty around 'Brexit' as impacts are difficult to forecast.

Controls:

 NHSG have held a voluntary survey of EU nationals. ACC currently undertaking a survey of all staff to gather similar information.

NO CHANGE 14.01.2021

- NHSG An initial operational assessment has been undertaken. A BREXIT co-ordinating group established with executive leadership. Engagement with staff who may be impacted by withdrawal of UK from the EU. Co-ordination with professional leads across Scotland and at SG - procurement, medicines, staff and resilience
- ACC- A Brexit Steering Group has been established. The Partnership is a member of this Group.
- National Procurement of NHS National Services Scotland has been working with Scottish Government, NHS Scotland Health Boards, DHSC and suppliers to try to minimise the impact of EU Exit on the supply of Medical Devices & Clinical Consumables. Activities range from increased stock holding in items supplied from our own National Distribution Centre to UK wide participation in centralised stock building and supplier preparedness.
- The Partnership established an Incident Management Team (IMT) ahead of daily reporting being re-established in 2019. The IMT will report through both the ACC and NHSG routes, as required.

Mitigating Actions:

• Mitigating actions have been developed on a national and local level through UK Government and Scottish Government guidance and the ACC and NHSG EU exit steering groups respectively. These actions are linked to the revised UK national Planning Assumptions (based on the reasonable worst case scenario-no deal).

The assumptions include:

- Travel, Freight and Borders disruption
- · Continuity of medical supply and medical products
- Adult Social Care staffing
- NHS staffing
- · Demonstrations and Disorder
- Scottish Workforce
- Energy supply disruption
- Food supply disruption
- · Access to benefits



Assurances:	 As the Partnership does not directly employ staff, The Chief Officer will work closely with partners to ensure that as implications become clear the Partnership are able to best represent and meet the needs of all staff. The Partnership's Business Continuity Planning process is established which will identify key services to prioritise in any contingency event. These Plans have been exercised over the last 7 months through the Partnership's response/recovery to Covid-19. Review ALEO contingency plans. Request evidence of risk assessment and mitigation from ALEOS for assurance of ability to deliver against contract. This is being considered and scrutinised through the ALEO Hub governance arrangements. Worked with care providers to develop key business contacts that providers can use over winter to help with their overall business continuity planning eg links to Flu vaccine details/NHS Inform/SEPA/Met office/Council Roads/Travel Providers The Partnership have taken part in reporting any EU exit implications through both the NHSG and ACC routes. The reporting timescales were roughly the same (around the previous 3 political deadlines in March, April and October 2019). No EU exit implications were reported by the Partnership at these times. Gaps in assurance:
Understanding that current legislation will remain in effect immediate post Brexit	
Current performance:	Comments:
Aberdeen City Council have restarted their EU Exit Working Group. The purpose of the Group is	
detailed below:	
The EU-Exit Group will support the Senior Responsible Owner (SRO) to identify, plan and	
manage the impacts of the EU-Exit affecting the Council (ACC) and its Partner Organisations.	
The Group will provide CMT Stewardship and the SRO with assurance that risks are identified, assessed and that plans are in place to mitigate the impacts as far as is practical. The Group will review and manage EU Exit risks contained within the Risk Register and recommend when risks should be escalated and/or de-escalated in accordance with Risk Management Policy and Guidance.	
The Group will also identify opportunities arising from an EU Exit and share these with the relevant Functions, Clusters and/or Partner Organisations.	
The Group has met in October, November and December 2020. The Group participants have populated a local risk and mitigation document for the Grampian Local Resilience Partnership, based on the UK National Planning Assumptions as mentioned in the mitigating actions. The Group have also updated the Council's Corporate Risk Register which is reported to the Corporate Management Team.	
In terms of NHSG, the Partnership is working closely with the Head of Procurement. A national Short Life Working Group has been established to oversee Brexit related activity and Heads of Procurement from each Health authority will receive fortnightly updates commencing from the end of October 2020.	
There is a high level of interrelated and concurrent joint national and local activity addressing contingency requirements for Living with Covid-19, ongoing PPE needs, and all round winter pressures etc.	



Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership A caring partnership

Updates from the national procurement group, includes:

- U.K. Supply Chain contingency planning arrangements that were set up in 2019 are being re-mobilised. This includes a European hub and supply chain that will facilitate NHS Supplies bypassing English Channel Port bottlenecks and subsequent containerised deliveries into NHS Supply Chain Distribution centres.
- National stockholdings of items stocked by the National Distribution Centre are in the process of being re-built to a level of 6-8 weeks stock availability to cushion any potential delays in supply as a result of possible border controls.

The Scottish Government has recently outlined action that NHS Boards will be required to take. which includes:

- ☐ Supporting Health Board Procurement teams in working closely with National Procurement on stock resilience and supplier engagement.
- ☐ Health Board Chief Executives are expected to liaise closely with their local authority counterparts who are directly responsible for the delivery and provision of all social care.

In terms of resilience arrangements, the letter explains that the Scottish Government has established a Winter Planning and Response Group to work with Health Boards, Health and Social Care Partnerships (HSCPs) and other delivery partners to ensure a coordinated and effective response to all disruptions including those that may arise from the end of the EU Transition period. As part of the winter planning programme support has been provided to both boards and partnerships through a number of live online events to help them rigorously test their winter plans.

The Head of Procurement in NHSG and his Team will be reporting on progress to the NHSG's System Leadership Team as well as providing updates and information to the Partnership.

The letter has been shared with the ACC EU Exit Group for situational awareness.

The Head of Procurement attended a Scottish Government information session on the 10th of December and has shared the slides with the Partnership. The Session discussed the latest updates on the negotiations between the UK Government and the EU, the supply of medicine, food and PPE and future reporting arrangements. The Partnership will report to NHSG as required (once determined).

The end of the Transition Period for the UK leaving the EU expired on the 31st of December 2020, with the UK and the EU reaching a "deal" to allow the UK to leave. Although the transition date has now passed and therefore this is no longer classed as a risk as it is now an issue with the controls and mitigating actions being part of business as usual for services, the actual impact of the UK leaving the EU might take weeks or months to be felt. Therefore, it is proposed that EU Exit remain on the strategic risk register and that the IJB make the decision to remove it from the register when it has assurance that the issues have been controlled within business as usual.

Since the end of the Transition Period, Aberdeen City Council have been examining their EU Exit risks and have passed a risk that they held on their Corporate Risk Register to the IJB. "There is a risk that the availability of some medicine may be affected and for potential cost increases due to supply channel changes". As this is based on one of the National Planning Assumptions, the



mitigating actions and controls to minimise this risk are outlined in this overall summary of the EU Exit risk.

NHSG's Head of Procurement continues to attend national meetings of the EU Exit Steering Group and will pass on any issues arising at a national level.

Appendix 1 - Risk Tolerance

Level of Risk	Risk Tolerance
Low	Acceptable level of risk. No additional controls are required but any existing risk controls or contingency plans should be documented. Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.
Medium	Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular active monitoring measures by Managers/Risk Owners. Where appropriate further action shall be taken to reduce the risk but the cost of control will probably be modest. Managers/Risk Owners shall document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective. Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective. Relevant Chief Officers/Managers/Directors/Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective.
High	Further action should be taken to mitigate/reduce/control the risk, possibly urgently and possibly requiring significant resources. Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners must document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective. Relevant Chief Officers/Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective and confirm that it is not reasonably practicable to do more. The IJB's may wish to seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed. However the IJB's may wish to accept high risks that may result in reputation damage, financial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrity, significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public



Unacceptable level of risk exposure that requires urgent and potentially immediate corrective action to be taken. Relevant Chief Officer/Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees should be informed explicitly by the relevant Managers/Risk Owners.

Very High

Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.

The IJB's will seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed.

However the IJB's may wish to accept opportunities that have an inherent very high risk that may result in reputation damage, financial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrity, significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public



Appendix 2 - Risk Assessment Matrices (from Board Assurance & Escalation Framework)

Table 1 - Impact/Consequence Defintions

Descriptor	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme
Patient Experience	Reduced quality of patient experience/ clinical outcome not directly related to delivery of clinical care.	Unsatisfactory patient experience/clinical outcome directly related to care provision – readily resolvable.	Unsatisfactory patient experience/clinical outcome, short term effects – expect recovery <1wk.	Unsatisfactory patient experience/ clinical outcome; long term effects –expect recovery >1wk.	Unsatisfactory patient experience/clinical outcome, continued ongoing long term effects.
Objectives/ Project	Barely noticeable reduction in scope, quality or schedule.	Minor reduction in scope, quality or schedule.	Reduction in scope or quality of project; project objectives or schedale.	Significnt project over-run.	Inability to meet project objectives; reputation of the organisation seriously damaged.
Injury (physical and psychological) to patient/ visitor/staff.	Adverse event leading to s minor injury not requiring firt &d	Minor injury or illness, firt a d treatment required.	Agency reportable, e.g. Police (violent and aggressive acts). Significnt in ury requiring medical treatment and/or counselling.	Major injuries/long term incapacity or disability (loss of limb) requiring medical treatment and/or counselling.	Incident leading to death or major permanent incapacity.
Complaints/ Claims	Locally resolved verbal complaint	Justifie written complaint peripheral to clinical care.	Below exdess claim. Justifie complaint involving lack of appropriate care.	Claim above excessilevel. Multiple justifie comp I à n s	Multiple claims d r single major claim. Complex justifie comp l å nt.
Service/ Business Interruption	Interruption in a service which does not impact on the delivery of patient care or the ability to continue to provide service.	Short term disruption to service with minor impact on patient care.	Some disruption in service with unacceptable impact on patient care. Temporary loss of ability to provide service.	Sustained loss of service which has serious impact on delivery of patient care resulting in major contingency plans being invoked.	Permanent loss of core service or facility. Disruption to facility leading to signifight "knock on" of fect.
Staffin and Competence	Short term low staffin level temporarily reduces sergice quality (< 1 day). Short term low staffin level (>1 day), where there is no disruption to patient care.	Ongoing low staffin level reduces service quality Minor error due to ineffective training/implementation of training.	Late delivery of key objective/ service due to lack of staff. Moderate error due to ineffective training/ implementation of training. Ongoing@roblems with staffin level s	Uncertain delivery of key objective /service due to lack of staff. Major error due to ineffective training/implementation of training.	Non-delivery of key objective/ service due to lack of staff. Loss of key staff. Critical error due to ineffective training / implementation of training.
Financial (including damage/loss/ fraud)	Negligible organisational/ personal finnci al loss (£<1k).	Minor organisational/ personalafinnci al loss (£1- 10k).	Significnt ergani sational / personal finnci di loss (£10-100k).	Majer organisational/personal finnci a loss (£100k-1m).	Severe organisational/ personal finnci à loss (£>1m).
Inspection/Audit	Small number of recommendations which focus on minor quality improvement issues.	Recommendations made which can be addressed by low level of management action.	Challenging recommendations that can be addressed with appropriate action plan.	Enforcement action. Low rating. Critical report.	Prosecution. Zero rating. Severely critical report.
Adverse Publicity/ Reputation	Rumours, no media coverage. Little effect on staff morale.	Local media coverage – short term. Some public embarrassment. Minor effect on staff morale/ public attitudes.	Local media – long-term adverse aublicity. Significnt & fect on staff morale and public perception of the organisation.	National media/adverse publicity, less than 3edays. Public confidnce in the organisation undermined. Use of services affected.	National/International media/ adverse publicity, more than 3 days. MSP/MP concern (Questions in Parliament). Court Enforcement. Public Enquiry/FAI.

Table 2 - Likelihood Defintions

Descriptor	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Probability	would happen		May occur occasionally Has happened before on occasions Reasonable chance of occurring.	Strong possibility that this could occur Likely to occur.	This is expected to occur frequently/in most circumstances more likely to occur than not.

Table 3 - Risk Matrix

Likelihood	Consequences/Impact					
	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme	
Almost Certain	Medium	High	High	V High	V High	
Likely	Medium	Medium	High	High	V High	
Possible	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High	
Unlikely	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	
Rare	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	

References: AS/NZS 4360:2004 'Making It Work' (2004)

Table 4 - NHSG Response to Risk

Describes what NHSG considers each level of risk to represent and spells out the extent of response expected for each.

Level of Risk	Response to Risk
Low	Acceptable level of risk. No additional controls are required but any existing risk controls or contingency plans should be documented. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be ef fective.
Medium	Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular active monitoring measures by Managers/Risk Owners. Where appropriate further action shall be taken to reduce the risl but the cost of control will probably be modest. Managers/Risk Owners shall document that the risk controls or contingency plans are ef fective. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be ef fective. Relevant Managers/Directors/Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective.
High	Further action should be taken to mitigate/reduce/control the risk, possibly urgently and possibly requiring significnt resources. Managers/Risk Owners must document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective. Relevant Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effectiven and confir that it is not reasonably practicable to do more. The Board may wish to seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed. However NHSG may wish to accept high risks that may result in reputation damage, finncial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrits, significnt incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public.
Very High	Unacceptable level of risk exposure that requires urgent and potentially immediate corrective action to be taken. Relevant Managers/Directors/E xecutive and Assurance Committees should be informed explicitly by the relevant Managers/Risk Owners. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be ef fective. The Board will seek assurance that risks of this level are being ef fectively managed. However NHSG may wish to accept opportunities that have an inherent very high rist that may result in reputation damage, finnci a loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrita, significnt incidents(s) of regulatory non

compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public.

Version March 2013